

Reading Curriculum Based Measurement (Oral Reading Fluency)

Curriculum Based Measurement is a standardized and systematic method of formative assessment; **CBM** is an empirically validated method of progress monitoring with over 25 years of research on effectiveness of CBM.

CBM has been linked to:	Characteristics of CBM:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved student academic outcomes • More effective instruction • Higher student achievement • Increased student responsibility for learning • Relationship between CBM and high stakes testing • Better communication between parents and teachers <p>(Fuchs, Deno, Mirkin, 1984; Fuchs, Fuchs, & Hamlett, 1989, Good, Simmons, & Kameeuni, 2001)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Used to monitor student progress across an entire year • Probes are brief and easy to administer • Administered weekly or as benchmarks and administered the same way each time • Each probe samples curriculum for an entire school year • Each probe is different, but each form assesses the same types of skills at same level of difficulty • CBM can be used to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Monitor student learning outcomes ○ Identify at-risk students ○ Evaluate intervention effectiveness ○ Guide instruction and cue instructional changes ○ Measure AYP ○ Monitor annual goals and objectives <p>(L. S. Fuchs & Fuchs, 2004; L. S. Fuchs, Fuchs, Hamlett, & Stecker, 1990; Hosp & Hosp, 2003)</p>

CBM Steps (adapted from Fuchs & Fuchs, 2005; Hosp, Hosp, & Howell, 2007)

Step 1: Determine reading CBM task for progress monitoring

Step 2: Identify level of material

Step 3: Administer and score

Step 4: Graph scores

Step 5: Set ambitious goals

Step 6: Apply data decision rules

Step 1: Determine reading CBM task for progress monitoring

(adapted from Hosp, Hosp, & Howell, 2007)

Grade	Reading Task	Description
Kindergarten	Letter Sound Fluency (LSF)	Students identify the sounds each letter makes when shown a list of letters
1	Word Identification Fluency (WIF) Oral Reading Fluency (ORF)	Students read a list of common words Students read passages and words read correctly are counted
2	ORF	
3	ORF	
4 and higher	Mazes	Students read a passage with blanks in place of words removed from the passage and choose a word to fill in the blanks. Words replaced correctly are counted.

Note – this tip sheet will focus on oral reading fluency (ORF) because it is the most common reading CBM assessment used. For details on using early reading or maze CBM, see Hosp, Hosp, & Howell (2007).

Step 2: Identify level of material (Capizzi & Barton-Arwood, 2009)

- 1) Administer ORF probes written at student's current grade level
- 2) If there is a concern that a student is not reading at grade level:
 - a. If student reads less than 10 words in one minute, use word identification fluency
 - b. If student reads 10 – 50 words correct in one minute use passages at the next lower level
 - c. If student reads more than 50 words correct in one minute, move to the next higher level, not to exceed the student's current grade level
 - d. Once you have determined the correct grade level of probes, use the same level for the entire year

Step 3: Consistently administer and score

- 1) Obtain ORF CBM materials (adapted from Hosp et al., 2007)
 - a) ORF passages (see below for resources to find passages)
 - a. 2 copies of each passage (one for student & one for teacher to mark errors)
 - b. Each passage should contain at least 200 words, be different but equivalent in grade level/difficulty, and represent the reading skills that are expected by the end of the school year
 - b) Stop watch or countdown timer
 - c) Directions to administer the probes
 - d) A graph to plot the data
- 2) Administer with individual students
 - a) Administer 3 equivalent probes the first time in one session (recommended) or across days

b) The median score will be first data point on graph

3) Use the same directions for each administration. There are multiple versions of ORF directions. Select one and consistently use it for each administration across the school year.

The following script is one option (Hosp et al., 2007; p. 37):

- Give the student a copy of the reading passage.
- Hold the teacher's version where the student cannot see what the teacher is writing (e.g., put it on a clip board).
- Say, ***"I would like for you to read this story aloud for me. Please start here (point to the first word on the student's copy) and read aloud. This is not a race. Try each word. If you come to a word that you do not know, you may skip it and go to the next word. You may start when I say 'Begin.' You may stop when I say 'Stop reading.' Do you have any questions?"*** Set the timer for 1 minute.
- Follow the teacher's copy and make an X on any words read incorrectly.
- At the end of 1 minute, say, ***"Stop reading"*** mark the last word the student read with a slash (/).

4) Scoring (Hosp et al., 2007)

- a) Count the total number of words attempted. Count the errors. Subtract the errors from the total number of words attempted to get words read correctly score (WRC).
- b) If a student finishes in less than one minute, note the number of seconds and use this formula: number of words read correctly divided by number of seconds to read the passage X 60.
- c) To score as correct
 - Words read correctly within context of sentence.
 - Repetitions
 - Self-correction
 - Dialect
 - Inserted words are not scored as correct or incorrect
- d) To score as incorrect
 - Mispronunciations
 - Word substitutions
 - Omissions
 - Hesitations for more than 3 seconds
 - Reversals
- e) Other scoring
 - Hyphenated words are counted as two words
 - Abbreviations and numbers are counted as words and must be read correctly
 - Do not count a skipped row as errors
 - Do not correct a student when a word is misread

Step 4: Graph scores (for progress monitoring purposes) (Fuchs & Fuchs, 2005)

Two options:

1) Paper and pencil graphs

- a) Vertical axis - include the range of scores of all students in the class from zero to the highest score. Horizontal axis - include the number of weeks of instruction, allowing for data to be entered one to two times per week

- b) Make a template of the above and one copy for each student
- 2) Chart Dog (www.interventioncentral.org): Web-based data storage and management
- 3) Commercial CBM materials (see below under Premade CBM Materials)

Step 5: Set ambitious goals (Hosp et al., 2007)

There are several options in goal setting:

1) Using benchmarks:

Using the reading CBM Benchmarks table below. Determine the end of year benchmark (performance level), which is the spring score at the 50th percentile. Graph the three baseline scores and the end of year benchmark. Draw a goal line on the graph from the median baseline score to the end of year performance goal.

Grade	Percentile	Norms for Words Read Correctly (WRC) (AIMSweb, 2006)		
		Fall	Winter	Spring
1	90%	49	78	106
	75%	22	46	80
	50%	8	23	52
	25%	2	13	38
	10%	0	6	15
2	90%	103	129	143
	75%	79	103	118
	50%	54	77	92
	25%	27	52	68
	10%	14	25	42
3	90%	130	148	163
	75%	103	124	139
	50%	77	96	110
	25%	49	67	82
	10%	30	41	52
4	90%	149	168	184
	75%	123	141	155
	50%	99	114	126
	25%	73	89	100
	10%	48	62	73
5	90%	169	183	198
	75%	142	158	171
	50%	112	128	141
	25%	85	99	109
	10%	60	73	82
6	90%	182	199	211
	75%	158	171	183
	50%	131	144	155
	25%	101	114	126
	10%	70	83	94
7	90%	186	197	209
	75%	160	169	183
	50%	131	140	153
	25%	103	112	124
	10%	81	87	96
8	90%	185	193	201
	75%	162	170	180
	50%	138	146	155
	25%	107	115	127
	10%	77	83	94

2) Using norms:

Using the ORF CBM Weekly Growth Rates table and the formula to calculate the end of year goal. Graph the three baseline scores and the end of year goal. Draw a goal line on the graph from the median score to the benchmark.

Initial median score + (**growth rate** x **number of weeks of instruction**)

Example: **55** (initial median score) + (**.8** [weekly growth rate] x **30 weeks**) = **79** (goal)

ORF CBM Weekly Growth Rates: Words Read Correctly (WRC)		
Grade	Realistic Weekly Growth Rates	Ambitious Weekly Growth Rates
1 st	2	3
2 nd	1.5	2
3 rd	1	1.5
4 th	0.85	1.1
5 th	0.5	0.8
6 th	0.3	0.65

Data from Fuchs et al. (1993)

Step 6: Apply data decision rules

See the table below:

Making Decisions using CBM Data	
Look at the last 3 data points. If the data points are:	
Close to the goal line (all on the line, or some above and some below)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue your instruction as implemented <i>If your student has an increasing slope with gains at or near your aimline, then he/she is responding to your instruction - so keep doing what you are doing</i>
All below the goal line	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change your instruction <i>If at least 3 consecutive scores have fallen below the aimline, the student is not responding optimally to instruction. Try something new.</i>
All above the goal line	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change your goal for the student and maintain your instruction as implemented <i>If at least 3 consecutive scores are above the aimline, your instruction is very effective for the student and you can increase the goal for the student.</i>

How often should ORF CBM be given? (Hosp et al., 2007)

- 1) If you are using CBM for screening or benchmarking: three times a year (fall, winter, spring)
 - a. Not recommended during first 2 weeks of school. Screening too early may inaccurately target students for more intensive instruction.
- 2) If you are using CBM for progress monitoring: own to two times a week for any student considered at risk based on norms or benchmark data.

Resources to Find Probes (Hosp et al., 2007)

Premade Reading CBM Probe Sheets

- AIMSweb
www.aimsweb.com
 ORF and maze passages
 Cost for materials; graphing and data management available

- Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills (DIBELS)
<https://dibels.uoregon.edu>
Free ORF passages; data management available
- Edcheckup
www.edcheckup.com
ORF and maze passages
Cost for materials; graphing and data management available
- Monitoring Basic Skills Progress (PRO-ED)
<http://www.proedinc.com/customer/default.aspx>
ORF and maze passages
Cost for materials; graphing and data management as well as computerized administration available
- Vanderbilt University
<http://kc.vanderbilt.edu/site/services/education/page.aspx?id=445>
Cost for copying and postage
- Yearly Progress Pro (McGraw-Hill)
www.mhdigitallearning.com
Maze passages available
Cost for materials; graphing and data management as well as computerized administration available
- Also see <http://rti4success.org/progressMonitoringTools>

Other Resources

- National Center on Student Progress Monitoring
<http://www.studentprogress.org/>
- National Center on Response to Intervention
<http://www.rti4success.org/>
- The IRIS Center for Training Enhancements - <http://iris.peabody.vanderbilt.edu>
 - Classroom Assessment (Part 1): An Introduction to Monitoring Academic Achievement in the Classroom
<http://iris.peabody.vanderbilt.edu/gpm/chalcycle.htm>
 - Classroom Assessment (Part 2): Evaluating Reading Progress
<http://iris.peabody.vanderbilt.edu/rpm/chalcycle.htm>
- Intervention Central
 - <http://www.interventioncentral.org/index.php/cbm-warehouse>

References

- AIMSweb. (2006). *Oral reading fluency norms* [Data file]. Available at <http://www.aimsweb.com>.
- Capizzi, A. C., & Barton-Arwood, S. M. (2009). Using a CBM graphic organizer to facilitate collaboration in reading. *Intervention in School and Clinic, 45*(1), 14-23.
- Fuchs, L. S., Deno, S. L., & Mirkin, P. K. (1984). The effects of frequent curriculum-based measurement and evaluation on student achievement, pedagogy, and student awareness of learning. *American Educational Research Journal, 21*, 449-460.
- Fuchs, L.S., & Fuchs, D. (2004). Determining adequate yearly progress from kindergarten through grade six with curriculum-based measurement. *Assessment for Effective Instruction, 29*(4), 25-38.
- Fuchs, L. S., & Fuchs, D. (2005). *Using Curriculum-Based Measurement for progress monitoring in math*. Retrieved from [www.k12.wa.us/SpecialEd/pubdocs/RTI/CBM Manual Math 9 29 05.pdf](http://www.k12.wa.us/SpecialEd/pubdocs/RTI/CBM_Manual_Math_9_29_05.pdf).
- Fuchs, L. S., Fuchs, D., & Hamlett, C. L. (1989) Effects of instrumental use of Curriculum-Based Measurement to enhance instructional programs. *Remedial and Special Education, 10* (2), 43-52.
- Fuchs, L. S., Fuchs, D., Hamlett, C. L., & Stecker, P. M. (1990). The role of skills analysis in curriculum-based measurement in math. *School Psychology Review, 19*, 6–22.
- Fuchs, L. S., Fuchs, D., Hamlett, C. L., Walz, L., & Germann, G. (1993). Formative evaluation of academic progress: How much growth can we expect? *School Psychology Review, 22*, 27-48.
- Good, R. H.III, Simmons, D.C. & Kameenui, E. J. (2001) The importance and decision making utility of a continuum of fluency-based indicators of foundational reading skills for third-grade high stakes outcomes. *Scientific Studies of Reading, 5*(3), 257-288.
- Hosp, M. K., & Hosp, J. L. (2003). Curriculum-based measurement for reading, spelling, and math: How to do it and why. *Preventing School Failure, 48*(1), 10–17.
- Hosp, M. K, Hosp, J. L., & Howell, K. W. (2007). *The ABCs of CBM*. New York: Guilford.